§218.9

- (3) When multiple names are listed on an objection, identification of the lead objector as defined in §218.2. Verification of the identity of the lead objector must be provided upon request or the reviewing officer will designate a lead objector as provided in §218.5(d);
- (4) The name of the proposed project, the name and title of the responsible official, and the name(s) of the national forest(s) and/or ranger district(s) on which the proposed project will be implemented;
- (5) A description of those aspects of the proposed project addressed by the objection, including specific issues related to the proposed project; if applicable, how the objector believes the environmental analysis or draft decision specifically violates law, regulation, or policy; suggested remedies that would resolve the objection; supporting reasons for the reviewing officer to consider; and
- (6) A statement that demonstrates the connection between prior specific written comments on the particular proposed project or activity and the content of the objection, unless the objection concerns an issue that arose after the designated opportunity(ies) for comment (see paragraph (c) of this section).

§218.9 Evidence of timely filing.

- (a) It is the objector's responsibility to ensure timely filing of a written objection with the reviewing officer. Timeliness must be determined by the following indicators:
- (1) The date of the U.S. Postal Service postmark for an objection received before the close of the fifth business day after the objection filing period;
- (2) The agency's electronically generated posted date and time for email and facsimiles:
- (3) The shipping date for delivery by private carrier for an objection received before the close of the fifth business day after the objection filing period; or
- (4) The official agency date stamp showing receipt of hand delivery.
- (b) For emailed objections, the sender should receive an automated electronic acknowledgement from the agency as confirmation of receipt. If the sender does not receive an auto-

mated acknowledgment of receipt of the objection, it is the sender's responsibility to ensure timely filing by other means.

§ 218.10 Objections set aside from review.

- (a) The reviewing officer must set aside and not review an objection when one or more of the following applies:
- (1) Objections are not filed in a timely manner (see §§ 218.7(c)(2)(v) and 218.9).
- (2) The proposed project is not subject to the objection procedures in §§ 218.1, 218.4, 218.20, and 218.31.
- (3) The individual or entity did not submit timely and specific written comments regarding the proposed project or activity during scoping or another designated opportunity for public comment (see §218.5(a)).
- (4) Except for issues that arose after the opportunities for comment, none of the issues included in the objection are based on previously submitted specific written comments and the objector has not provided a statement demonstrating a connection between the comments and objection issues (see §§ 218.8(c) and 218.8(d)(6)).
- (5) The objection does not provide sufficient information as required by §218.8(d)(5) and (6) for the reviewing officer to review.
- (6) The objector withdraws the objection.
- (7) An objector's identity is not provided or cannot be determined from the signature (written or electronically scanned) and a reasonable means of contact is not provided (see §218.8(d)(1) and (2)).
- (8) The objection is illegible for any reason, including submissions in an electronic format different from that specified in the legal notice.
- (9) The responsible official cancels the objection process underway to reinitiate the objection procedures at a later date or withdraw the proposed project or activity.
- (b) The reviewing officer must give prompt written notice to the objector and the responsible official when an objection is set aside from review and must state the reasons for not reviewing the objection. If the objection is set